

Journal of Business Systems Innovation and Management Science

**COMPETITIVE STRATEGIES AND ORGANIZATIONAL PERFORMANCE
OF REINSURANCE COMPANIES IN NAIROBI CITY COUNTY, KENYA**

Ndiritu Wilson Waweru and Shadrack Bett



Competitive Strategies and Organizational Performance of Reinsurance Companies in Nairobi City County, Kenya

Ndiritu Wilson Waweru

Corresponding Author, Kenyatta University

Shadrack Bett

Lecturer, Department of Business

Administration, Kenyatta University

Article History

Published on: 09/06/2026

DOI:

<https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.20620385>

How to Cite: Waweru, N. W., & Bett, S. (2026). Competitive Strategies and Organizational Performance of Reinsurance Companies in Nairobi City County, Kenya. *Journal of Business Systems Innovation and Management Science*, 3(1), 1–21.

<https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.20620385>

Abstract:

Purpose of Study: This study examined the effect of competitive strategies (cost leadership, differentiation, and focus) on the organizational performance of reinsurance companies in Nairobi City County, Kenya, amid rising market competition, technological disruption, and globalization pressures.

Methodology: A descriptive census survey of seven licensed reinsurance companies in Nairobi was conducted. Structured questionnaires were administered to 40 departmental heads. Data were analyzed using SPSS (version 25.0) through

descriptive statistics, correlation, and regression analysis, complemented by thematic analysis of qualitative responses.

Findings: The study found a positive and significant relationship between cost leadership, differentiation, focus strategies, and organizational performance. Differentiation strategy had the strongest influence ($\beta=0.534$, $p=0.004$), followed by cost leadership. Mean scores indicated high agreement on operational cost control (4.40), diversified product portfolios (4.40), and continuous internal process improvement (4.40). Market segmentation enhanced delivery and market share in target regions (mean=4.26). The regression model explained 59.6% of performance variance ($R^2=0.596$, $p<0.001$). Qualitative findings revealed moderate adoption of technology-based differentiation and customer outreach initiatives.

Conclusion: Differentiation strategy most significantly enhances reinsurance firm performance in Nairobi. Reinsurance companies should invest in technology-based differentiation, innovative distribution channels, and niche market targeting. Strengthening unique product offerings and customer outreach will improve financial outcomes, including net premiums written and profitability.

Keywords: *Reinsurance Industry, Organizational Performance, Competitive Strategies*

©2026 By The Authors: This Article is an open-access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/>)

1.Introduction

The insurance industry is vital in driving economic stability and growth by acting as a risk manager and a government, corporate, and personal financial intermediary. Financial safety is guaranteed by the firms through risk spreading and taking, thereby providing investment, entrepreneurship, and long-term development” (Tsvetkova, 2021). In modern economies, insurers not only encourage recovery in the event of adverse results but also facilitate domestic savings mobilization and diversion into productive investment. It is thus unavoidable that the organizational efficiency of insurance and reinsurance firms becomes the principal determinant of economic resilience and efficiency in developing as well as mature economies.

Reinsurers have a central position in this system, acting as the backbone of front-line insurers by assuming a portion of risks brought by significant claims. Increasing risk sophistication, ranging from pandemics and climate change to technological disruption, is calling for organizational responsiveness, imagination, and efficiency to a large extent, (Swiss Re Institute, 2023). However, evidence from around the world indicate that reinsurance firms are dealing with financial fragility, unimpressive investment return, and ineffective operations predicated on out-of-date systems, behind-schedule innovation uptake, and escalating cost profiles (OECD, 2022). Such organizational performance weakness presents not only firm-level solvency risk but also diminution of the overall financial system and economic welfare of nations.

Besides, regulatory complexity across borders is a unique worldwide issue. With Solvency II and IFRS 17 regimes currently being implemented and updated, worldwide reinsurers and insurers are facing business pressure due to compliance. Most organizations are unable to handle the increased risk disclosure, data integrity, and capital management procedures required by such regimes (KPMG, 2021). In contrast to more strategic and performance-based models, most reinsurance companies globally are thus stuck in compliance-focused, reactive models.

Numerous tactics have been developed to address issues with organizational performance on a global scale. Global reinsurers are making large investments in digital transformation through automated claim settlement, blockchain for contract transparency, and AI-led underwriting (PwC, 2023). To enhance the quality of financial reporting and harmonize performance metrics among companies, international regulators are also adopting more adaptable frameworks such as IFRS 17 (OECD, 2022). Additionally, the industry is encouraging the adoption of ESG, with performance being more closely linked to sustainability reporting and climate risk management (EY, 2022).

The reinsurance industry's organizational productivity in Sub-Saharan Africa is significantly impacted by economic instability, exchange rate volatility, and inadequate infrastructure development. According to African Reinsurance Corporation (2022), these companies' performance is still hampered by their limited capital, high operating costs, and reliance on foreign reinsurers, even though gross premiums in the region have increased by an average of 9.15% annually. Due to outdated systems and inadequate governance frameworks, the region is also beset by processing timelines for claims, product development, and customer confidence.

“Currency depreciations render investments less valuable and contribute to claims liabilities, leading to solvency stress,” as quoted by EY Africa (2021). In addition, a lack of regulatory harmonization among African markets inhibits cross-border collaboration and accumulates duplicated compliance burdens. A lack of skilled professionals, namely actuaries and risk analysts, also contributes to performance problems by deteriorating underwriting and pricing procedures (African Insurance Organization, 2023).

The reinsurance industry in Kenya is emerging but remains vulnerable to organizational inefficiencies and market saturation. Association of Kenya Insurers (AKI, 2023) reports that reinsurance penetration is rising because of enhanced infrastructure development and compulsory cession law. Local reinsurers, on the other hand, face financial issues because of high claim ratios, increasing operating expenses. According to IRA (2023), many businesses continue to use outdated systems, which results in ineffective policy administration and claim payment.

Kenyan reinsurers now face a greater performance responsibility due to shifting customer demands and increased competition from international reinsurers. Most of the local firms are unable to compete with risk-based pricing structures and digital platforms. Moreover, the regulatory landscape is rapidly changing, leading to an increase in the cost of doing business due to new solvency regulations and compliance standards. It is challenging to make evidence-based decisions and track performance in Kenya's reinsurance industry due to data fragmentation and a lack of real-time analytics (AKI, 2023).

Nairobi, as Kenya's insurance and financial hub, hosts the headquarters of major national and regional reinsurance firms. Regardless of its geographical location, Nairobi reinsurance firms are confronted with several localized performance issues like poor access to funds for innovation, a shortage of skilled digital professionals, and a weak IT infrastructure (AKI, 2023). The local reinsurers are prone to customer acquisition due to low awareness and lack of trust in insurance products, hence constraining premium growth and business size.

Furthermore, urban risks of high crime rates, infrastructure exposures, and increased motor and property claim also destabilize the profitability of local businesses. A constantly increasing rate of fraudulent claims and poor regulation of insurance law also further degrade operating effectiveness. Most Nairobi-based companies are still yet to move away from paper-based processes to online platforms, hence creating workflow inefficiencies, duplication, and customer dissatisfaction (IRA, 2023).

The intense competition in Nairobi's financial services sector means that firms must continually innovate and manage operational costs. Locally, Nairobi businesses have pushed back by adopting micro-insurance products tailored for the poor and digitizing customer touchpoints such as USSD codes and mobile apps (AKI, 2023). The county government and Kenya National Innovation Agency (KENIA) have also spurred decentralized FinTech innovation hubs to support insurance and reinsurance technology start-ups. Local learning centres such as the College of Insurance in Nairobi also expand their learning curriculum to address digital skill gaps in underwriting and risk analysis.

2. Statement of the Problem

The Kenyan reinsurance industry contributed 5.7% of the national GDP in financial year 2019 (Kinyua, 2022). It has been reported through industry reports that while the general insurance business increased by 16.65% in 2023, 21.48% thereof being from reinsurance premiums (IRA, 2023). The industry is nonetheless facing increased challenges regarding a 6% growth in claims on a global basis (Swiss Re, Sigma report) and 10.9% growth in the frequency of claims in Kenya - mostly caused by industrial fires (IRA, 2023). Despite its economic contribution - job opportunities creation, market protection, and capital flight mitigation - the industry has numerous issues in operations. The compilation includes macroeconomic volatility, an increased competitive business atmosphere in the international arena, and robust pressure from diversified-product multinational reinsurers (IRA, 2023). Apparent here in particular is the scarcity in empirical research exploring competitive strategy in the country's odd business regime with research in the instance of literature availability focusing only on banks, SACCOs, and direct insurers rather than reinsurers' experience.

Recent research has apparently defined significant knowledge gaps in competitive dynamics comprehension. The geographic concentration and the case study approach narrowed the applicability of the survey conducted by Ngugi and Waithaka in 2021 among Nyeri County insurance firms. Similarly, Macharia (2023) research among insurance firms in Kenyan was not theoretically informed even after utilizing the research design that explicates. Recent literature on competitive strategy among Nairobi County reinsurance companies had significant knowledge gaps that were targeted. The research was especially significant to the industry whose growth to the economic influence in Kenya and the intricacies in the competitive market with impacts from domestic and foreign market forces continue to change.

The research objectives were to determine the effect of cost leadership strategy on the organizational performance, impact of differentiation strategy on the organizational performance and to assess the effect of the focus strategy on the organizational performance of reinsurance businesses in Nairobi, Kenya.

3.Theoretical Framework

This project focused on theoretical frameworks: balanced scorecard (BSC), Porter's generic model and resource-based view model. The BSC evaluates the performance of an organization by examining the four interlocking perspectives: financial results, customer relations, internal business processes, and learning and development opportunities. In linking the BSC to the current research of reinsurance firms, every view directly correlates with the metrics under study on organizational performance. The financial view is linked to the profit of the reinsurance companies. The customer view relates to customer satisfaction as shown in the conceptual framework. The internal business process perspective connects to operational efficiency.

“Porter's model argues that cost leadership is the ability to have the lowest sector production and distribution costs, thus enabling the firm to offer competitive prices and gain more market share,” (Baack & Boggs, 2018; Porter, 1985). “The differentiation strategy is focused on developing

products or services that are unique and of value to customers, which enables the firm to charge superior prices,” (Porter, 1985; Pearce et al., 2008).

Porter's Generic Competitive Framework directly relates to the independent variables being tested. The variable cost leadership strategy was operationalized by measures of operational efficiency, pricing, and utilization of resources in reinsurance companies. The differentiation strategy variable was explored by service quality initiatives, innovative products, and brand positioning in the reinsurance industry. The focus strategy variable was measured by specialized risk coverage, targeting niche markets, and tailor-made reinsurance products. Using Porter's model, the research mapped out competitive strategies that best influenced organizational performance among reinsurers.

Resource Based View Theory transformed the thought of strategic management as it moved the focus from exogenous factors in an industry to using endogenous organizational possessions to gain advantage over rivals.

RBV theory provides a key framework for understanding how reinsurance businesses create and use unique resources to conduct competitive strategies. For cost leadership initiatives, RBV explains how proprietary risk evaluation tools and optimized organizational structures result in cost advantages that competitors cannot imitate. RBV illustrates how unique experience in specialized risk assessment, close ties with primary insurers, and increased technological skills are significant and unusual assets that enable service differentiation. For focus strategies, RBV guides how knowledge built up in niche market segments and specialized underwriting skills are unbeatable assets that enable the targeting of specific market segments. Drawing on the tenets of RBV, this study investigated how the strategic utilization of unique resources in reinsurance companies influences their ability to successfully implement competitive strategies.

4. Empirical Review

The impact of competitive strategies on the reinsurance sector in Kenya demonstrated that differentiation enhances customer loyalty, cost leadership optimizes profits if combined with service quality, and focus strategies work optimally in niche markets.

Cost leadership strategy and organizational performance is a strategy that source significant savings, it comes with built-in dangers, specifically where the reduction of costs comes at the expense of crucial functions such as marketing and research, which can lead to the degradation of visibility and responsiveness to the marketplace Hlavacka et al. (2001). Hudson (2016) concurred that while cuts to operational costs can be performance-enhancing, these necessarily need to be weighed heavily against innovation, which is critical to entailing changes to the marketplace landscape, lest the firm risk become too insular to respond to such developments. Celli (2018) added that successful cost leadership does not emanate from sheer size of the firm, but more so from sophisticated operational efficiencies that competitors find difficult to replicate. This complementation is supported further by Islami et al. (2020), who, researching emerging markets, found that local economic environments contribute heavily to the success of the application of the cost leadership strategy.

Available literature on cost leadership approaches shows mixed effects in different markets and industries. Studies by Celli (2018) and others have predominantly focused on manufacturing and retailing industries; however, the current study seeks to particularly target the reinsurance industry in Kenya. This is a significant gap in the current knowledge, considering that service-based financial industries have been relatively under researched in the available literature. Additionally, a considerable percentage of the studies on cost leadership have been conducted within mature economies or in European market settings, while the current study seeks to explore the Nairobi scenario in the financial sector of Kenya, thus providing more relevant implications for local industry players.

Hudson (2016) examined cost leadership as a standalone strategy; however, this study compared cost leadership to operating costs, production costs, and efficiency, thus creating an expanded model that characterizes the operation of this strategy among the reinsurance companies. In contrast to earlier research that primarily used an exploratory design, this study adopted a descriptive research design with the ability to yield more profound insights into how cost leadership affects the productivity of reinsurance companies.

Differentiation strategy effect on organizational performance entails the creation of superior goods or services that are identifiable by buyers, thus promoting customer loyalty. Porter (1980) first explicated this approach, explaining that successful differentiation improves organizational performance through quality, brand image, technological innovation, or service offering. Lorentz et al. (2006) expanded on this point, arguing that differentiation serves as a barrier against competitive attacks by fostering strong customer relationships. Empirical evidence confirms these theoretical assumptions. For instance, Ahmad et al. (2018) found that in the context of manufacturing businesses, process design innovations were pivotal in performance improvement. Likewise, Chepngetich and Kimencu (2018) demonstrated the effectiveness of differentiation strategies in production expansion in Nairobi's mobile telephony business. In addition, "a study by Msinga et al. (2018) in the insurance industry also found strong positive relationships between differentiation strategies and various aspects of performance. Odhiambo and Njuguna (2020) further argued that differentiation explained over 50% of the variance in performance among Kenyan insurance companies."

Empirical findings of differentiation strategies have proved to be positively influencing several industries. However, the research performed by Ahmad et al. (2018) centred on manufacturing companies, while the current study aims to examine the reinsurance companies operating under different market scenarios and customer needs. Although Odhiambo and Njuguna (2020) focused specifically on the ordinary Kenyan insurance companies, "this research targets specific cases of the reinsurance companies operating in the context of Nairobi City County, which has different operational aspects and client interactions compared to direct insurers."

5. Research Methodology

This research adopted a census approach. Data was collected from all strategic planning managers across the seven licensed reinsurance companies in the city. The study adopted a descriptive research design, enabling a systematic examination of the variables while maintaining scientific rigor. Data were gathered through structured questionnaires combining Likert-scale items with open-ended questions. Qualitative responses were analyzed thematically, while quantitative data were processed and examined using SPSS (version 25.0). Descriptive statistics such as means and standard deviations were generated alongside inferential analyses, including correlation and regression tests. The regression model took the following form:

$$Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_2 + \beta_3 X_3 + \varepsilon$$

Where:

Y = Organizational performance (DV)

β_0 = Constant term

X_1 = "Cost leadership strategy" (IV)

X_2 = "Differentiation strategy" (IV)

X_3 = "Focus strategy" (IV)

ε = "Error term"

$\beta_1 - \beta_3$ = Regression coefficients indicating variable weights"

The qualitative data from the open-ended responses were analyzed using thematic analysis, and the emerging patterns were organized into clear narrative summaries. Structured tables and properly illustrated figures are used to represent the results to enable ease of interpretation. Using the dual approach (quantitative and qualitative) to analyses assures thorough scrutiny of how competitive strategy affects reinsurance firm performance in Nairobi.

6. Research Findings

The Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) Version 25 was used to determine the effect of the various competitive strategies on performance metrics including profitability, customer satisfaction, and operational efficiency. Descriptive statistics were examined and displayed as mean and standard deviation.

6.1 Cost Leadership Strategy

The research explored the effect of application of the cost leadership strategy in reinsurance companies operating in Nairobi City County employing a five-point Likert scale "(1 = Strongly Disagree to 5 = Strongly Agree)". As guided by Kaur et al., (2018), the obtained mean scores were interpreted by finding the range then dividing by the number of items (5-1)/8).

Table 1: Cost Leadership Strategy

"Cost Leadership"	"Strongly Disagree (%)"	"Disagree (%)"	"Neutral (%)"	"Agree (%)"	"Strongly Agree (%)"	Mean	Standard Deviation
	0	0	2	17	16	4.40	.604

5 (a) Reinsurance company maintains strict control of operational costs	0.0%	0.0%	5.7%	48.6%	45.7%		
5(b) Distribution partners are selected based on cost-effectiveness	0	0	7	17	11	4.11	.718
5(c) Pricing of reinsurance products is reviewed regularly for cost-effectiveness	0	0	8	11	16	4.23	.808
5(d) Reinsurance products are priced more competitively than of other competitors (reinsurance companies operating in Nairobi City County)	0	7	11	13	4	3.40	.946
5(e) Operational efficiency is a priority across all departments of the reinsurance company	0	1	4	16	14	4.23	.770
	0	2	6	16	11	4.03	.857

5(f) Cost efficiencies from economies of scale have helped the company decrease prices and increase market demand	0.0%	5.7%	17.1%	45.7%	31.4%		
5(g) Cost leadership is significant in organizational performance of the reinsurance company	0	0	2	17	16	4.40	.604
	0.0%	0.0%	5.7%	48.6%	45.7%		

6.2 Differentiation Strategy

The more the companies are adopting differentiation practices, the higher their chances of attaining competitive positioning and enhance performance. Differentiation allows the firms to develop distinctive values to the clients, address the changes in the market and develop long-term loyalty to the customers. The frequencies, means and standard deviation of the differentiation strategy implementation by the reinsurance companies in the Nairobi City County is described in Table 2.

Table 2: Descriptive Analysis on Differentiation Strategy

“Differentiation Strategies”	“Strongly Disagree”	“Disagree”	“Neutral”	“Agree”	“Strongly Agree”	“Mean”	“Std Dev.”
6(a) The company continuously develops distinctive reinsurance products	0	1	5	17	12	4.14	.772
	0.0%	2.9%	14.3%	48.6%	34.3%		
6(b) Company has diversified product portfolio that meets various client needs	0	0	4	13	18	4.40	.695
	0.0%	0.0%	11.4%	37.1%	51.4%		
	0	1	3	20	11	4.17	.707

6(c) Company distribution channels collect important information about the clients and competitors (other reinsurance companies operating in Nairobi City County)	0.0%	2.9%	8.6%	57.1%	31.4%		
6(d) Distribution channels have enhanced company's reach to the right-targeted market	0	1	4	21	9	4.09	.702
	0.0%	2.9%	11.4%	60.0%	25.7%		
6 (e) Differentiation strategies enhance performance	0	1	6	13	15		
	0.0%	2.9%	17.1%	37.1%	42.9%	4.20	.833

6.3 Focus Strategy

In this study, the researcher gathered information about the extent to which the reinsurance firms within Nairobi City County have adopted focus strategies. Focus strategy would gain a competitive advantage by targeting specific buyer groups or niche market. This is achieved by specialization, by which the offerings may be customized to better meet the special needs of that target segment. The more productively the firms implement focus strategies, the more successful they have a chance to develop good positions in the market and attain sustainable development. Table 3 gives the descriptive statistics about the usage of focus strategies by the reinsurance companies which consist of frequencies, mean scores and standard deviations.

Table 3: Descriptive Statistics of Focus Strategy

“Focus Strategies”	“Strongly Disagree (%)”	“Disagree (%)”	“Neutral (%)”	“Agree (%)”	“Strongly Agree (%)”	“Mean”	“Standard Deviation”

7(a) The firm focuses its reinsurance solutions on specialized market segments	0	2	6	15	12	4.06	.873
	0.0%	5.7%	17.1%	42.9%	34.3%		
7(b) Market-specific adaptations ensure products resonate with local consumer needs	0	1	5	17	12	4.14	.772
	0.0%	2.9%	14.3%	48.6%	34.3%		
7(c) Customer segmentation helps us personalize our services	0	1	5	15	14	4.20	.797
	0.0%	2.9%	14.3%	42.9%	40.0%		
7(d) Market segmentation improves delivery and market share in target regions	0	1	3	17	14	4.26	.741
	0.0%	2.9%	8.6%	48.6%	40.0%		
7(e) Focus strategy contributes directly to improved organizational performance	0					4.11	.867
		2	5	15	13		
	0.0%	5.7%	14.3%	42.9%	37.1%		

6.4 Organization Performance

This evaluation employed measures from four main domains: financial goals, customer contentment, internal procedures, and worker efficiency. An elevated performance rating in these domains suggests the use of more successful competitive tactics by the firms. Table 4 presents the descriptive statistics “(frequencies, mean scores, and standard deviations) for these organizational performance indicators.”

Table 4: Descriptive Analysis of Organization Performance

“Organizational Performance	“Strongly Disagree”	“Disagree”	“Neutral”	“Agree”	“Strongly Agree”	“Mean”	“Standard Deviation”
	0	3	2	16	14	4.17	.891

8(a)The firm meets its financial targets consistently	0.0%	8.6%	5.7%	45.7%	40.0%		
8(b) Customer satisfaction levels have improved over the past three years	0	0	5	19	11	4.17	.664
	0.0%	0.0%	14.3%	54.3%	31.4%		
8(c) Internal processes are continuously monitored and improved	0	0	1	20	14	4.37	.547
	0.0%	0.0%	2.9%	57.1%	40.0%		
8(c) Internal processes are continuously monitored and improved	0	0	2	17	16	4.40	.604
	0.0%	0.0%	5.7%	48.6%	45.7%		

Regression Analysis

Table 5: Model Summary

Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate
1	.772 ^a	.596	.557	.34915

Table 6: ANOVA

Model	Sum of Squares	Df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
Regression	5.567	3	1.856	15.223	.000 ^b
Residual	3.779	31	.122		
Total	9.346	34			

Table 4.7: Regression Coefficients

Model		Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.
		B	Std. Error	Beta		
1	(Constant)	.956	.499		1.914	.065
	Cost Leadership Strategy	.283	.173	.290	1.642	.111

	Differentiation Strategy	.509	.163	.534	3.128	.004
	Focus Strategy	.005	.123	.007	.040	.969
a. Dependent Variable: Organizational Performance						

6.5 Summary of the Findings

Descriptive statistics such as frequency tables, averages, and standard deviations were used to give a clear and simple picture of the data. Inferential methods, especially correlation and regression analysis, helped uncover meaningful patterns and provided robust evidence for drawing conclusions that can be applied to the wider population.

Seven indicators for the independent variable included; strict control of operational costs, selection of distribution patterns based on cost effectiveness, regular review of pricing for cost effectiveness, competitive pricing of reinsurance products, operational efficiency, economies of scale and the importance of cost leadership. A reasonable agreement was noted across all statements concerning cost leadership. Among the assessed metrics of the variable indicator, the assertion regarding whether reinsurance firms exercise stringent control over operational expenses received the highest average score.

The researcher proceeded to examine how the differentiation strategy influences organizational performance within reinsurance companies. To assess this, the study applied five indicators: the company's ongoing development of unique reinsurance products, the presence of a diversified product portfolio to address client needs, the use of distribution channels to gather information on clients and competitors, the role of distribution channels in reaching the appropriate target market, and the contribution of differentiation strategies to overall performance. It was established that all the statements on differentiation strategy had a mean score of above 4.1 and this implied that majority of the participants' opinion was inclined to agree to the statements on the indicators. A moderate consensus among respondents was observed among the responses by the respondents in rating the statements on differentiation strategy in reinsurance companies.

This study investigated the effect of a focus strategy on the organizational performance of reinsurance firms in Nairobi County, Kenya. To this end, multiple indicators were used to evaluate how extensively the companies applied focus strategies. These indicators entailed the degree to which firms targeted specific product niches within their reinsurance offerings and customized products to cater to the unique demands of geographical areas. In addition, the study examined how segmenting customers helps companies offer more personalized services, and how dividing the market into specific regions improves service delivery and boosts market share. The results showed that market segmentation—especially its role in improving service efficiency and increasing market share in targeted areas—received the highest average score among all the factors considered. Although participants only showed moderate agreement about the overall influence of the focus strategy, the statistical results demonstrated a distinct and positive relationship between the consistent application of this strategy and the overall performance of reinsurance firms in Nairobi County.

Key evaluation measures of reinsurers included how consistently each company met its financial goals and whether customer satisfaction had improved over the past three years a strong sign of how well the firms are adapting to market needs. The assessment also showed a positive relationship between employee satisfaction, productivity, and overall organizational performance, underscoring the crucial role that motivated and skilled employees play in achieving business success. In addition, the analysis found that strategic competitive approaches such as differentiation, focus, and cost leadership through operational efficiency had a significant impact on the performance of reinsurance firms, as confirmed by regression results. This reinforces the idea that strong financial management, combined with well-aligned strategic practices across different business areas and stakeholder engagements, is essential for successful reinsurance operations.

7 Conclusions

Among the three independent variables examined, the differentiation strategy had the greatest influence on the dependent variable. Furthermore, the study determined that cost leadership ranked second, following differentiation, in shaping the performance of reinsurance companies. Regarding focus strategies, the researcher identified a robust positive correlation between these strategies and the organizational performance of reinsurance companies. Additionally, the statement asserting that market segmentation enhances delivery and market share in target regions achieved the highest mean score.

8 Recommendations of the Study

Reinsurers should channel greater investments into competitive strategies that directly market their products, as they were found to not perform well in customer outreach. Concerning the cost leadership strategy, the study recommends that firms improve the adoption and implementation of distribution channels that enhance innovative products. This practice will significantly reduce distribution costs and improve efficiency. Regarding the use of technology, the study recommends that companies increase their investment in this area, as it will enhance their implementation and improve their performance. The study recommends that insurance firms strengthen the application of technology-based differentiation, which was also only moderately practiced, to enhance their competitive edge. The study vouches for the upscaling of unique selling to ensure that the diverse market niches are supplemented such as the cost initiative. The study recommends that firms increase their engagement in selling for specific market segments, given that this practice was found to be moderately employed.

References

- Ahmad, M. F., Hoong, K. C., Hamid, N. A., Sarpin, N., Zainal, R., Ahmad, A. N. A., & Hassan, M. F. (2018). The impact of product design and process design on new product performance in the manufacturing industry. IP Conference Proceedings, 2016(1), 020016. <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5055418>

- AKI. (2023). Insurance regulatory authority annual report. Insurance Regulatory Authority. https://www.akinsure.com/content/uploads/documents/Insurance_Industry_Market_Report_2023.pdf?t=0838
- Akintokunbo, O. O. (2018). Market focus strategy and organizational performance of telecommunication companies in Port Harcourt. *International Journal of Innovative Research and Advanced Studies*, 5(3), 258-263. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/329360288_Market_Focus_Strategy_And_Organizational_Performance_Of_Telecommunication_Companies_In_Port_Harcourt
- Asa, A. R., Prasad, N. S., & Htay, M. M. (2013). Balanced scorecard: A paradigm measure of business strategy and firm performance. *International Journal of Scientific & Technology Research*, 2(4), 121-125. <https://www.ijstr.org/final-print/apr2013/Balanced-Scorecard-A-Paradigm-Measure-Of-Business-Strategy-And-Firm-Performance.pdf>
- Atela, N., & Sitienei, K. (2023). Competitive Strategies and Performance of Selected Cement Companies in Kenya. *International Journal of Business Management, Entrepreneurship and Innovation*, 5(1), 19-43. <https://doi.org/10.35942/jbmed.v5i1.299>
- Atlas. (2024, November). The global reinsurance market in 2023. Insurance News around the World. <https://atlas-mag.net/en/articles/global-reinsurance-market-2023-0>
- Authority, I. R. (2023). Insurance Regulatory Authority. <https://www.ira.go.ke/>
- Baack, D. W., & Boggs, D. J. (2018). The difficulties in using a cost leadership strategy in emerging markets. *International Journal of Emerging Markets*, 4(4), 89-91. <https://doi.org/10.1108/17468800810862605>
- Banker, R. D., Mashruwala, R., & Tripathy, A. (2016). Does a differentiation strategy lead to more sustainable financial performance than a cost leadership strategy? *Management Decision*, 4(2), 58-69. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/274048942_Does_a_differentiation_strategy_lead_to_more_sustainable_financial_performance_than_a_cost_leadership_strategy
- Barney, J. B. (1991). Firm resources and sustained competitive advantage. *Journal of Management*, 17(1), 99-120. <https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/10.1177/014920639101700108>
- Baruch, Y. (1999). Response rate in academic studies—A comparative analysis. *Human relations*, 52(4), 421-438. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/234021319_Response_Rate_in_Academic_Studies_-_A_Comparative_Analysis
- Bertucci, L. (2019). The role of financial institutions: Limits and perspectives [Doctoral dissertation, Université Paris Sciences et Lettres]. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/351512434_The_role_of_financial_institutions_limits_and_perspectives

- Carter, R. L. (2013). Reinsurance. Springer Science & Business Media.
<https://link.springer.com/book/10.1007/978-94-015-7410-5>
- Celli, M. (2013). Determinants of economies of scale in large businesses—a survey on UE listed firms. *American Journal of Industrial and Business Management*, 3, 255-261.
https://www.researchgate.net/publication/271299561_Determinants_of_Economies_of_Scale_in_Large_Businesses-A_Survey_on_UE_Listed_Firms
- Chepngetich & Kimencu. (2018). Competitive strategies and performance of mobile service providers in Nairobi, Kenya. <http://www.ijcab.org/wp-content/uploads/2018/05/Competitive-Strategies-and-Performance-of-Mobile-Service-Providers-in-Nairobi-Kenya.pdf>
- Conradie, N., & Mthabela, S. (2024, May 5). Africa's reinsurers plot a course for long-term resilience and sustainability. <https://www.munichre.com/en/insights/economy/mroa-outlook.html>
- Cooper, D., & Schindler, P. (2019). Business research methods (9th Ed.). McGraw Hill Companies. http://sutlib2.sut.ac.th/sut_contents/H139963.pdf
- Ecofin Agency. (2024, November 5). South Africa, Morocco, Egypt, and Kenya dominate the African insurance market in 2023. Public. <https://www.ecofinagency.com/public-management/0511-46105-south-africa-morocco-egypt-and-kenya-dominate-african-insurance-market-in-2023>
- Eling, M., & Jia, R. (2019). Efficiency and profitability in the global insurance industry. *Pacific-Basin Finance Journal*, 101-190.
https://www.researchgate.net/publication/335063734_Efficiency_and_profitability_in_the_global_insurance_industry
- Ercan, M., & Ban, S. (2013). The impact of CEO duality on firm performance. *International Journal of Business & Social Science*, 42.
https://www.researchgate.net/publication/312447334_The_Impact_of_CEO_Duality_on_Firm_Performance_Evidence_From_Turkey
- Gakuya, R. W., & Njue, N. K. (2018). Effects of focus strategy on customer loyalty among pharmaceutical companies in Nairobi County, Kenya. *European Journal of Management and Marketing Studies*, 1(2), 36-47.
<https://oapub.org/soc/index.php/EJHRMS/article/view/355>
- Galbreath, J. (2004). Determinants of firm success: A resource-based analysis [Doctoral dissertation, Curtin University of Technology].
https://www.researchgate.net/publication/45844011_Determinants_of_firm_success_a_resource-based_analysis
- Gamble, J. E., Thompson, A. A., & Strickland, A. J. (2010). Crafting and executing strategy: The quest for competitive advantage: Concepts and cases (17th Ed.). McGraw-Hill/Irwin.
https://www.researchgate.net/publication/361614167_Crafting_and_Executing_Strategy_The_Quest_for_Competitive_Advantage_19E

- Godfrey, K. R. (1980). Correlation methods. *Automatica*, 16(5), 527-534.
<https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/000510988090076X>
- Gorondutse, A. H., & Gawuna, M. S. (2017). Cost leadership strategy and performance of hotels in the Nigerian context. *Journal of Applied Structural Equation Modeling*, 1(1), 1-12.
https://www.researchgate.net/publication/368634998_COST_LEADERSHIP_STRATEGY_AND_PERFORMANCE_OF_HOTELS_IN_NIGERIAN_CONTEXT
- Hlavacka, S., Ljuba, B., Viera, R., & Robert, W. (2001). Performance implications of Porter's generic strategies in Slovak hospitals. *Journal of Management in Medicine*, 15(1), 44-66. <https://doi.org/10.1108/02689230110386489>
- Islami, X., Mustafa, N., & Latkovikj, M. T. (2020). Linking Porter's generic strategies to firm performance. *Future Business Journal*, 6(1), 1-15. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s43093-020-0009-1>
- Johnson, G., Scholes, K., & Whittington, R. (2008). Exploring corporate strategy: Texts and cases (8th Ed.). Pearson Education Limited. <https://fouziyagm.wordpress.com/wp-content/uploads/2015/04/johnson-exploringcorporatestrategy8ed.pdf>
- Kaplan, R. S. (2009). Conceptual foundations of the balanced scorecard. *Handbooks of Management Accounting Research*. https://www.hbs.edu/ris/Publication%20Files/10-074_0bf3c151-f82b-4592-b885-cdde7f5d97a6.pdf
- Kaur, P., Stoltzfus, J., & Yellapu, V. (2018). Descriptive statistics. *International Journal of Academic Medicine*, 4(1), 60-63.
https://www.researchgate.net/publication/327496870_Descriptive_statistics
- Kawira, K. D. (2021). The effect of product and service innovation on the performance of micro, small, and medium enterprises in Kenya. *Journal of Marketing and Communication*, 4(1), 1-13.
<https://stratfordjournalpublishers.org/journals/index.php/journal-of-marketing/article/view/681>
- Kenya Reinsurance Corporation. (2023). Kenya Reinsurance Corporation Report. https://www.kenyare.co.ke/sites/default/files/resource/documents/ANNUAL%20REPORT%202023_2%20%282%29.pdf
- Kombo, D. K., & Tromp, D. L. (2006). Project and thesis writing: An introduction. Paulines Publications Africa.
<https://www.scirp.org/reference/referencespapers?referenceid=3264395>
- Lorentz, H., Häkkinen, L., & Hilmola, O. (2006). Analysis of the Russian retail sector. *Baltic Journal of Management*, 1(2).
https://www.researchgate.net/publication/235253423_Analysis_of_the_Russian_retail_sector_Prospects_for_cross-border_MA_activity
- Luo, Y., Huang, Y., & Wang, S. L. (2012). Guanxi and organizational performance: A meta-analysis. *Management and Organization Review*, 8(1), 139-172.

- https://www.researchgate.net/publication/239768439_Guanxi_and_Organizational_Performance_A_Meta-Analysis
- Macharia. (2023). Effects of competitive strategies on the performance of insurance companies in Kenya. USIU-AFRICA. <https://erepo.usiu.ac.ke/xmlui/handle/11732/8080?show=full>
- Makgopa, S. S. (2020). The influence of service innovation practices on business performance [Dissertation, University of Pretoria]. <https://repository.up.ac.za/items/da9b709d-4e74-4cfa-a133-870f2ed7fde0>
- McKillop, D. D., French, B. Q., Sobiech, A., Wilson, J. O., & Wilson, J. O. S. (2020). Cooperative financial institutions: A review of the literature. *International Review of Financial Analysis*, 71, 101520. <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC7233224/>
- Michael, P. [Porter, M. E.] (1980). *Competitive strategy: Techniques for analyzing industries and competitors*. Free Press. <https://www.hbs.edu/faculty/Pages/item.aspx?num=195>
- Motum, A. L., & Kinyua, G. (2022). Customer satisfaction in non-life insurance companies in Kenya: Does information technology agility matter? *International Journal of Managerial Studies and Research*, 10, 34-46. <https://www.arcjournals.org/pdfs/ijmsr/v10-i10/3.pdf>
- Msinga, S. M. S., Ndinya, A., Ogada, M., & Omido, K. (2018). Differentiation strategies and performance of insurance companies in Nairobi, Kenya. *International Journal of Advanced Research in Management and Social Sciences*, 7(11), 15-29. <https://www.garph.co.uk/IJARMSS/Nov2018/2.pdf>
- Muiruri, B. N. (2020). Relationship between competitive strategies and organizational performance: A case of Tusksys retail supermarkets in Nairobi, Kenya. <https://erepo.usiu.ac.ke/bitstream/handle/11732/5987/BETH%20N.%20MUIRURI%20MBA%202020.pdf?sequence=1&isAllowed=y>
- Muli, N., & Bett, S. (2023). Competitive strategies influence on performance of emerging low-cost airlines in Kenya: A case of Skyward Express Limited. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/378692626_Competitive_Strategies_and_Performance_of_Kenya_Airways_Limited_in_Nairobi_County_Kenya
- Mwaniki, J., & Kinyua, G. (2022). Strategic orientation and performance of reinsurance. <https://ir-library.ku.ac.ke/bitstreams/619f9b23-1aa9-44e7-b68e-b2de11b47bf7/download>
- Nahapiet, J., & Ghoshal, S. (1998). Social capital, intellectual capital, and the organizational advantage. *Academy of Management Review*, 23, 242-266. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/228314367_Social_Capital_Intellectual_Capital_and_the_Organizational_Advantage
- Ngugi & Waithaka. (2021). Competitive strategies and organizational performance: A case of insurance companies in Nyeri County, Kenya [MBA Research Project, Kenyatta University]. <https://ir-library.ku.ac.ke/bitstreams/8d892beb-41cb-4ce1-bf3b-7555ab03c7b8/download>

- Ochodo, G. K., Oloko, M., & Yabs, J. (2020). Role of focus strategy in performance of NHIF accredited hospitals in Kenya. *International Journal of Business and Management*, 15(8), 56-67. <https://ccsenet.org/journal/index.php/ijbm/article/view/0/43152>
- Ong'esa, S. O., & Kinyua, G. M. (2020). Effect of operational capability on performance of Air Kenya Express Limited in Nairobi City County, Kenya. *International Journal of Managerial Studies and Research*, 8(9), 1-11. <https://www.arcjournals.org/pdfs/ijmsr/v8-i10/1.pdf>